



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_19082
Title of the Manuscript:	Technologies in Texture Analysis - A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(1) In this article, it is bad structure. (2) There are many errors in the text, for example, in the sub-topic "TEXTURE SPECTRUM" of page2, "In neighborhood of 3 by 3 pixel. $V = \{V_0+V_1....V_8\}$". (3) This article needs to do some English editing carefully. (4) In this article, the authors want to to highlight the gap or shortcomings in technology which they reviewed. But, I will suggest the authors to do some comparison between these methods each other or together.	All the surveyed algorithms are based on different technologies having no common property. So comparison is not done. English has been taken care of.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		